UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,340	04/03/2004	Chang Jy Richard Wu	R Wu001	3307
46396 CHEIN-HWA	7590 09/05/200 S. TSAO	7	EXAMINER	
6684 MT PAK	ON DRIVE		CHEUNG, VICTOR	
SAN JOSE, CA	X 95120		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			X			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/817,340	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor Cheung	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were a specified above, and the second of the statutory period were period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this c  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers			•			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.					
	.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

# DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 1, "guide is disclosed to" should read –guide to-. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

Claims 10, 12, 13, 42, 44, and 45 are objected to because of the following informalities:Claims 10, 12, 13, 42, 44, and 45: "optional" should be deleted.Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re Claims 1-49: Claims 1-49 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device

must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Becker et al. (US Patent No. 5,356,362).

Re Claims 1-5, 33-37: Becker et al. discloses a foot engaging means 24, body engaging means 76, and a framing structure 34 connected to the foot and body means. Regarding the language, "for guiding and engaging at least one foot of the user", "for guiding and engaging at least one part of the user's body", "wherein at least... user" have not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claims 2-4, the dimensions are adjustable (Fig. 7-9). Regarding the language, "to guiding and engaging the user's feet and body", "thereby accommodating a pre-determined range of user body and feet variation" have not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 5, the body engaging means comprises a hand engaging means. Regarding the language, "for guiding and engaging at least one of user's hands" has not been given

patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

Claims 1 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kiser (US Patent No. 7. 5,941,802).

Re Claims 1 and 5: Kiser discloses a foot engaging means 2, body engaging means B, and a framing structure F, S (Fig. 3) connected to the foot and body means. Regarding the language, "for guiding and engaging at least one foot of the user", "for guiding and engaging at least one part of the user's body", "wherein at least... user" have not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 5, the body engaging means comprises a hand engaging means (Fig. 3). Regarding the language, "for guiding and engaging at least one of user's hands" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

Claim 1, 5, 10-13, and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 8. Shimojima et al. (US Patent No. 5,713,794).

Re Claims 1, 5, 12-13, 44-45: Shimojima et al. discloses a foot engaging means 120, body engaging means 118, and a framing structure 110 connected to the foot and body means. Regarding the language, "for guiding and engaging at least one foot of the user", "for guiding and engaging at least one part of the user's body", "wherein at least... user" have not been given patentable weight

because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 5, the body engaging means comprises a hand engaging means (Fig. 1). Regarding the language, "for guiding and engaging at least one of user's hands" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claims 12-13, the device comprises a display 130.

Re Claims 10-11, 42-43: A display device has been discussed above.

However, Becker et al. do not disclose a VHS, DVD, or VCD disc.

The use VHS, DVD, or VCD for displaying video is old and well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a VHS, DVD, or VCD such that a removable storage medium can be used to display different images.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 14-16, 23-26, 31-32, and 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al. (US Patent No. 5,356,362), as applied to claim 1 above, and further

in view of Johnson (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0164844) and Urso (US Patent No. 5,224,924).

Re Claims 14-15, 16, 24-26, 31-32, 46-47: Regarding the language in claim 16 lines 1-23, 38-47, and "for guiding and correctly positioning the user's feet of stances S1, S2, S3, and S4", "for guiding thus correctly positioning the user's elbows of stances S3 and S4", "for guiding thus correctly positioning the user's firsts of stances S1 and S2 through fist gripping" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. Becker et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for a separate feet board, two elbow-engaging members.

Johnson teaches a separate feet board and Urso teaches a device having elbow engaging members (Fig. 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a board for each foor so that a user has the flexibility for a variety of positions while exercising and elbow engaging members such that the members provide flexibility to the user while exercising.

As to claims 24-25, 31-32, 48-49, the language has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

Re Claims 23: Becker et al. disclose the material of metal (Col. 2, Line 42).

Claims 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al. 11. (US Patent No. 5,356,362), Johnson (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0164844), and Urso

(US Patent No. 5,224,924), as applied to claims 16 and 26 above, and further in view of Holworthy (US Patent No. 1,104,505).

Re Claims 17 and 27: Regarding the language "for guiding and correctly positioning the user's open-palmed hand of stances S3 and S4" has not been given patentable weight because the recitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. Becker et al. discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention except for a hand loop. Holworthy teaches a hand loop. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Becker et al. in view of Holworthy such that a hand loop provides a secure grip for grasping while exercising.

12. Claims 6, 8, 18-21, 28-29, 38, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al. (US Patent No. 5,356,362), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Davidson (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0219498).

Re Claims 6, 8, 18, 19-21, 28-29, 38, and 40: Becker et al. does not disclose a foot or body engagement sensing and signaling means.

Davidson teaches a sensing and signaling system (Para. 9-10). As to claim 19-21 and 29, the location of the sensing and signaling means is adjustable in any space, uses a position sensor, and signals with an audible, display, or body-stimulating device (Para. 9-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a sensing and signaling system in Becker et al. such that the user is aware when an incorrect movement is being made.

13. Claims 7, 9, 39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al. (US Patent No. 5,356,362) and Davidson (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0219498) as applied to claims 6, 8, 38, and 40 above, and further in view of Amano et al. (US Patent No. 6,042,549).

Re Claims 7, 9, 39, and 41: Becker et al. do not disclose a timing device.

Amano et al. disclose an exercise measuring device that measures the duration of time a user is properly exercising (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a timer, thereby indicating to the user the amount of time that the user is actually accomplishing the intended task.

14. Claims 22 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al. (US Patent No. 5,356,362), Johnson (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0164844), and Urso (US Patent No. 5,224,924), as applied to claims 16 and 26 above, and further in view of Shimojima et al. (US Patent No. 5,713,794).

Re Claims 22 and 30: Becker et al. do no disclose a stabilizing bar attached to the rear end of the backbone beam and extending substantially in the y-direction. Shimojima et al. disclose the use of a rear-stabilizing bar (Fig 9). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a rear stabilizing bar, thereby keeping the unit stable throughout the user movements.

#### Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Macri et al. (USPN 6,612,845), Jenks (USPN 2,737,432), and Romano (USPN 5,474,299) each disclose stance guides including means for engaging and guiding a plurality of body areas.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 270-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,340 Page 10

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor Cheung August 30, 2007 Robert Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3714